Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,997	LANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>	
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) Richard Silverman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 February 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
1 Milletto		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 by reciting that "a nonionic surfactant" and "a cellulase enzyme" are required components. Additionally, Applicant agreed to cancel claims 2 and 8 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment..